



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/212,292	12/16/1998	SUNG-GON JUN	P55281	8641

7590                    04/10/2002

ROBERT E. BUSHNELL  
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW  
1522 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 300  
WASHINGTON, DC 200051202

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ZAMANI, ALI A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2674	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/212,292</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Sung-Gon Jun</b>
	Examiner <b>Ali Zamani</b>	Art Unit <b>2674</b>

*-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --*

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 14, 2002

2a)  This action is FINAL.      2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* 1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica  
4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from considera  
5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.

8)  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirem

#### Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a)  approved b)  disapproved.

12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a)  All b)  Some\* c)  None of:

1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

#### Attachment(s)

15) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
16) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____	20) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozolins (US Pat. No. 5,990,858).

3. In regard to claims 1 and 9-10, Ozolins discloses a flat panel display apparatus for receiving display information including video data (40), a receiver (20) receives input signals originating from the graphic controller and output signals to analog LCD panel (30), a PLL (90), a brightness controller (100) a micro processor (80), an output terminal for externally transferring synchronizing signal and analog video signal to an analog display (see Fig. 1). Ozolins also teaches that since standard desktop computers produce analog RGB signals for cable connections instead of digital video signals, digital LCDs need additional analog to digital converter (ADCs) to interpret the video signals from the desktop computers (col. 1, lines 50-60). Ozolins further teaches analog LCDs that are capable of utilizing analog RGB signals have been introduced to the market recently, although such analog LCDs do not require ADCs (see col. 1, lines 63-67 and col. 2, lines 1-6). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 2674

invention do not use any ADC in order to an interface that allows analog LCDs to simulate the operations of multifrequency CRT monitors having capabilities to adjust to various display protocols.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. Claim 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozolins in view of Rokunohe et al. (US Pat. No. 4,549,175).

In regard to claim 3-8, Ozolins is discussed above. Ozolins substantially shows the above claimed limitations except for a “deflection signal generator”. However, Rockunohe disclose an image transmission apparatus includes a synchronizing signal generator (17), for generating horizontal and vertical synchronizing signal when raster scanning is made on a CRT (20), an deflection signal generator (62), a luminescent signal generator (63), a video amplifier (64). Rockunohe is cited to show the concept of using a dflection signal generator (62) for receiving synchronizing signal output from synchronizing signal generator (17) via output terminal and for generating deflection signal is old. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the signal dflection of Rockunohe in the display device of Ozolins to provide a flat panel display with means for connecting to an analog display, which make a convenient presentation to many people.

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wu, Inamori are made of record to show various types of flat panel display information.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Zamani whose telephone number is (703) 308-6414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerepe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Art Unit: 2674

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ali Zamani

April 05, 2002



RICHARD HJERPE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600